Measurement and Stylized Facts in Growth and Development

Ping Wang Department of Economics Washington University in St. Louis

January 2024

Data sources: Acemoglus (2009), Aghion-Howitt (2009), Jones (1998, 2015)

#### **B.** The Big Picture

- 1. Long Term Development of the World Economy
  - Five ancient empires:

| Greek empire<br>(2000-300BC) |                                   | Chinese empire (2852BC-1911) |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------------|------------------------------|
|                              | Babylonian empire<br>(1696-539BC) |                              |
| Egyptian empire              |                                   | Indian empire                |
| (4000-30BC)                  |                                   | (3300BC-1818)                |

- The rise of Europe:
  - Roman empire (27BC-1461)
  - Spanish empire (1519-1898)
  - **Dutch empire** (1579-1795)
  - British empire (1689-1997)
  - German empire (1871-1918)
- The rise of America (1776-now)

- World development since 1000: Overtaking of Western Offshoots
  - Maddison data (scarce prior to 1820)
  - **o Western offshoots (former colonies of Western Europe)**
  - Asia (historically China + India)





### • World development since mid-19<sup>th</sup> century:

• A closer look at 5 representative economies since 1600 (Ngai 2004):



- Sustainable growth in real income per capita only started 1780
- Argentina: post-independent federation since 1861
- Japan: Meiji Restoration in 1868; lost decade(s) since 1991
- China: wars; great leap forward; cultural revolution; post-1979
   open-door policy (market/trade) + 1992 Southern Tour (FDI)
- India: 1980's reform by Indira Gandhi; 1990's reform by Manmohan Singh

#### 2. The Post-WWII Era

Log GDP per capita



- East Asian Miracles (Asian Tigers)
- African Miracle (Botswana)
- Poverty Traps (Nigeria and many Sub-Saharan)

- **B.** Cross-Country Study of Economic Growth
- 1. Overview
  - Distribution of world real GDP per capita



- Widened world income distribution (cross-country inequalities)
- Rightward shift (upward economic development)
- Twin Peaks (Quah): poverty + middle income traps

#### • Cross-country growth experiences compared (relative income to US)



GROWTH RATE, 1960 - 2011

- OECD frontier
- Asian & African miracles (Japan + 4 Asian Tigers + Botswana)
- Development laggards: high initial relative income/low growth
- Poverty traps (1/10 of US): Sub-Saharan & others
- **•** Convergence of the first 2 groups

#### • Cross-country income mobility:



GDP PER PERSON (US=1) IN 2011

#### • Great divergence:

- Upward/downward mobility: miracles/laggards
- Persistently high/low: developed/poverty traps

| Miracles   | Growth | Disasters  | Growth |
|------------|--------|------------|--------|
| Korea      | 6.1    | Ghana      | -0.3   |
| Botswana   | 5.9    | Venezuela  | -0.5   |
| Hong Kong  | 5.8    | Mozambique | -0.7   |
| Taiwan     | 5.8    | Nicaragua  | -0.7   |
| Singapore  | 5.4    | Mauritania | -0.8   |
| Japan      | 5.2    | Zambia     | -0.8   |
| Malta      | 4.8    | Mali       | -1.0   |
| Cyprus     | 4.4    | Madagascar | -1.3   |
| Seychelles | 4.4    | Chad       | -1.7   |
| Lesotho    | 4.4    | Guyana     | -2.1   |

• Growth miracles and disasters (1969-1990)

- 2. Determinants of Economic Growth:
  - Using neoclassical theory as an organizing framework, Jones-Manuelli (1997), Boldrin-Chen-Wang (2004) and Jones (2015) provided comprehensive surveys on the sources of per capita real GDP growth
  - The determinants of economic growth:
    - Organizing framework: aggregate production: Y = A\*F(K,H\*L)
      - K: physical capital accumulation: saving, investment
      - L: labor force growth (labor participation); population growth

         a negative factor (fertility choice)
      - H: human capital enhancement: education (years of schooling), learning by doing, job training
      - A: total factor productivity (TFP): R&D and technology invention, imitation, and adoption

**o other factors:** 

- trade (final goods, intermediate goods)
- Institutions/infrastructures
- finance/geography/urbanization
- policy (monetary, fiscal, patent, population, others)

- Physical/Human Capital Accumulation and Growth
  - I/Y: investment rate
  - H: human capital index (year of schooling or PWT index)

| Country     | I/Y<br>(%) | Y <sub>i</sub> /Y <sub>US</sub> *100<br>(1990) | ∆Y <sub>i</sub> /Y<br>i<br>(%) | Country     | H<br>Index | Yi/Yus*100<br>(1990) | ∆Yi/Yi<br>(%) |
|-------------|------------|--|--------------------------------|-------------|------------|----------------------|---------------|
| <b>U.S.</b> | 24.0       | 100  | 2.1                            | <b>U.S.</b> | 11.8       | 100                  | 2.1           |
| Algeria     | 23.3       | 14   | 2.2                            | Argentina   | 6.7        | 19                   | 0.7           |
| Zambia      | 27.9       | 4  | -0.8                           | Philippines | 6.7        | 14                   | 1.3           |
| Guyana      | 25.1       | 7  | -0.9                           | Korea       | 9.2        | 45                   | 6.3           |
| Japan       | 36.6       | 80   | 5.6                            | New Zealand | 12.3       | 63                   | 1.4           |
| Singapore   | 32.6       | 60   | 6.4                            | Norway      | 10.6       | 81                   | 3.7           |

#### • Physical capital:



Average growth rate of GDP per capita, 1960-2000

#### **U.S. Capital-Output Ratio**



Destruction of physical capital by wars
Rising service sector

#### **U.S. Relative Price of Investment**



Fallen equipment price due to computerization and mass production
 Rising commercial structure and housing prices (land)

#### **U.S. Capital Shares**



#### • Declined labor share:

- role played by automation
- implication for rising inequality, especially top inequality

#### • Human capital:



Average growth rate of GDP per capita, 1960-2000

#### **U.S. Skilled Labor Growth and Skill Premium**



Skilled: 14 or 16 years of schooling (developing or developed)
Rising skill premium (relative wage) since 1980

#### • Population growth:



- Negative relationship: cake eating
- $\circ$  Quantity-quality tradeoff in fertility choice (Becker)

#### **o** Fertility of Advanced Countries: US vs. France



**ANNUAL BIRTHS PER 1000 POPULATION** 

 France: socialism/high welfare toward poor & children => more moderate decline in total fertility

#### • World population projection by UN (Bloom-Canning-Sevilla)





#### • World fertility/infant mortality project by UN



#### **o Population ageing:**

East Asia









#### **o** Share of working-age population:

• TFP growth: PWT TFP index

#### TFP growth versus per capita real GDP growth



per capita real GDP growth

• GDP index and working pop (15-64) share: G7 + Spain (Fernandes Villaverde-Ventura-Yao 2023)





# • GDP per capita measure can lead to sizable bias, especially since the Great Recession

| 1981-2007                 | Canada | France | Germany | Italy | Japan | Spain | UK   | USA  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| GDP                       | 2.68   | 2.24   | 1.99    | 1.84  | 2.41  | 3.15  | 2.76 | 3.19 |
| GDP per Capita            | 1.57   | 1.67   | 1.80    | 1.71  | 2.08  | 2.44  | 2.43 | 2.11 |
| Population                | 1.09   | 0.56   | 0.19    | 0.13  | 0.32  | 0.70  | 0.33 | 1.05 |
| GDP per Working-age Adult | 1.49   | 1.61   | 1.84    | 1.67  | 2.25  | 2.10  | 2.31 | 2.06 |
| Working-age Population    | 1.17   | 0.62   | 0.15    | 0.17  | 0.15  | 1.03  | 0.44 | 1.10 |
| Working-age Pop. Ratio    | 0.68   | 0.65   | 0.68    | 0.67  | 0.68  | 0.67  | 0.65 | 0.66 |

Table 4: G7 plus Spain: Basic Growth and Population Facts, 1981-2007

Table 5: G7 plus Spain: Basic Growth and Population Facts, 2008-2019

| 2008-2019                 | Canada | France | Germany | Italy | Japan | Spain | UK   | USA  |
|---------------------------|--------|--------|---------|-------|-------|-------|------|------|
| GDP                       | 1.79   | 1.03   | 1.27    | -0.23 | 0.58  | 0.61  | 1.43 | 1.81 |
| GDP per Capita            | 0.65   | 0.61   | 1.16    | -0.36 | 0.68  | 0.38  | 0.71 | 1.11 |
| Population                | 1.13   | 0.42   | 0.11    | 0.14  | -0.10 | 0.23  | 0.71 | 0.70 |
| GDP per Working-age Adult | 1.07   | 1.11   | 1.35    | -0.11 | 1.49  | 0.78  | 1.10 | 1.34 |
| Working-age Population    | 0.71   | -0.07  | -0.08   | -0.12 | -0.90 | -0.16 | 0.33 | 0.46 |
| Working-age Pop. Ratio    | 0.68   | 0.63   | 0.66    | 0.65  | 0.61  | 0.67  | 0.65 | 0.66 |



Customer TFP Growth (Residualized)

#### • Sectoral composition effect: Acemoglu-Autor-Patterson (2023)







#### **o Upstream suppliers matter**

### $\circ$ The ups and downs of industries

| Panel A | A: List | of Fas | stest-Gro | wing I | Industries | that | Drive | Rising | TFP | Variance |
|---------|---------|--------|-----------|--------|------------|------|-------|--------|-----|----------|
|         |         |        |           |        |            |      |       |        |     |          |

| 1997–2002 Industries                              | 2002–2007 Industries                             |
|---|--|
| Semiconductor and Related Devices                 | Semiconductor and Related Devices                |
| Electronic Computers                              | Electronic Computers                             |
| Paper (except Newsprint) Mills                    | Computer Storage Devices                         |
| Other Animal Foods                                | Sawmills   |
| Iron and Steel Mills                              | Biological Products (except Diagnostic)          |
| All Other Plastics Products                       | Other Basic Inorganic Chemicals                  |
| Motor Vehicle Electrical and Electronic Equipment | Other Plastics Products                          |
| Soybean Processing                                | Motor vehicle transmission and power train parts |
| Gas engine and engine parts                       | Motor vehicle metal stamping                     |
| Motor Vehicle Metal Stamping                      | Petrochemicals                                   |

## Panel B: List of Bottleneck Industries

| 1997–2002 Industries                           |
|--|
| Commercial Lithographic Printing               |
| All Other Basic Organic Chemical               |
| Printed Circuit Assembly (Electronic Assembly) |
| Corrugated and Solid Fiber Boxes               |
| Petrochemicals                                 |
| Radio/TV Broadcasting                          |
| Bare Printed Circuit Boards                    |
| Electronic Connectors                          |
| Other Electronic Components                    |
| Electronic Capacitors                          |
|  |

| 2002–2007 Industries                              |
|---|
| Petroleum Refineries                              |
| Pharmaceutical Preparation                        |
| Other Communication and Energy Wires              |
| Manifold Business Forms Printing                  |
| Corrugated and Solid Fiber Boxes                  |
| Rolled Steel Shape Manufacturing                  |
| Turbine and Turbine Generator Set Units           |
| Medicinal and Botanical Manufacturing             |
| Motor Vehicle Electrical and Electronic Equipment |
| Unsupported Plastics Film and Sheets              |

### • Factor utilization and TFP: Comin-Quintana-Schmitz-Trigari (2023) • Average output elasticities

|                      | USA  | Germany | Spain | France | Italy | UK   |
|----------------------|------|---------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| Materials            |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Our elasticity       | 0.43 | 0.54    | 0.55  | 0.56   | 0.59  | 0.53 |
| Solow-BFK elasticity | 0.41 | 0.52    | 0.52  | 0.53   | 0.56  | 0.50 |
|                      |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Labour               |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Our elasticity       | 0.41 | 0.34    | 0.33  | 0.35   | 0.31  | 0.37 |
| Solow-BFK elasticity | 0.39 | 0.33    | 0.32  | 0.34   | 0.29  | 0.35 |
|                      |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Capital              |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Our elasticity       | 0.17 | 0.12    | 0.12  | 0.09   | 0.10  | 0.09 |
| Solow-BFK elasticity | 0.20 | 0.14    | 0.16  | 0.13   | 0.15  | 0.15 |



#### o labor utilization (hours worked) and capital utilization

#### o cumulated TFP growth



Notes: BFK = Basu-Fernald-Kimball (AER 2006)

### • average TFP growth rates

|                        | USA  | EA   | Germany | Spain | France | Italy | UK   |
|------------------------|------|------|---------|-------|--------|-------|------|
| Overall sample         |      |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Solow residual         | 0.64 | 0.27 | 0.73    | -0.33 | 0.28   | -0.30 | 0.91 |
| BFK method             | 0.64 | 0.28 | 0.76    | -0.33 | 0.26   | -0.33 | 0.92 |
| Our method             | 0.76 | 0.22 | 0.61    | -0.40 | 0.25   | -0.27 | 1.11 |
|                        |      |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| Subperiods, our method |      |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| 1988-2004              | 1.13 |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| 2004-2009              | 0.47 |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| 2009-2020              | 0.32 |      |         |       |        |       |      |
| 1995-2007              |      | 0.39 | 0.82    | -0.72 | 0.88   | -0.26 | 1.73 |
| 2008-2018              |      | 0.03 | 0.38    | -0.06 | -0.43  | -0.28 | 0.44 |

• R&D: other forms of technical progress (licensing, imitation, technology spillovers, technology assimilation)



#### **R&D-GDP** Share

per capita real GDP growth

#### **R&D** Employment Share



#### U.S. R&D Growth



Government R&D expansion due to cold war
Software R&D expansion since 1980

#### **Patents Growth (Granted by USPTO)**



#### • Patents are highly concentrated geographically:

- US accounts for half
- US, UK, Taiwan, South Korea, Germany, Japan together > 80%

#### • Trade



Stronger correlation than K, L and H
Trade protection is likely detrimental

#### • Institutions – democracy and IPR protection



- A tale of two systems: China vs. Taiwan (fast growth under different democracies)
- $\circ$  IPR protection promotes invention incentives

• Finance



 $\circ$  Threshold effect of minimum financial development for investment purposes (at domestic credit/GDP = 0.2)

42

#### • Geography



Log GDP per capita, 1995

• Snow belt vs. sun belt

#### • Urbanization



Log GDP per capita, 1995

• Reversal if using early urbanization



#### 3. Economic growth, consumption and life expectancy

- 4. Have rich countries suffered growth slowdown?
  - Growth rate versus initial level of development



#### **Convergence of the Rich (OECD)**

#### Nonconvergence of the Poor



GROWTH RATE, 1960 - 2011

Cross-Country Disparities in Income and Factor Inputs (Wang-Wong-Yip 2016)



• The concept of convergence and conditional convergence

**Convergence in per capita real GDP (Baumol-Barro):** 

 $\circ$  β-convergence: the higher the initial per capita real GDP is, the lower the per capita real GDP growth will be ( $\beta < 0$ )

$$\beta$$
-convergence -  $\frac{\dot{y}_i}{y_i} = \theta_i = \beta_0 + \beta y_i(0) + \dots$ 

 $\circ$   $\sigma$ -convergence: the cross-country per capita real GDP is decreasing over time

$$\sigma\text{-convergence} - \frac{d}{dt}[Var(y_i)] < 0$$

**Problems:** 

- Galton Fallacy (regression toward the mean)
- Twin-peak hypothesis (Quah 1996)
- **o** Endogeneity problems
- Measurement errors
- **o Kitchen sink regressions**

• World GDP by now: race to the top – only 18 countries have more than 1% share of world GDP, led by two giants, US and China, followed by Japan, Germany and UK



#### C. Why Formal Theory Matters?

- Albert Einstein: "[I]t is quite wrong to try founding a theory on observable magnitudes alone ... It is the theory which decides what we can observe."<sup>1</sup>
- Formal theory can help organizing the stylized facts observed, explaining causal relationships, offering economic predictions and drawing useful policy implications
- **D.** Basic Technical Tools

To build up formal dynamic general equilibrium theory, basic tools are:

- calculus/matrix algebra, probability theory, mathematical statistics & stochastic process, basic real/functional analysis & measure theory
- constrained optimization methods (Lagrangian)
- optimal control (Maximum Principle) & stochastic control
- recursive methods and dynamic programming
- overlapping-generations (OLG) approach
- dynamic games

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> While Google Translation is confusing, my own translation of the original quote with helps from my German friend is: "Logical thinking must be deductive, based on hypothetical concepts and axioms. How could we hope to be able to choose the latter in such a way that we could hope to see the proof of its consequences based on its phenomena? It is obvious that the best scenario occurs when the new basic hypotheses are suggested by the world of experience itself or by the validation of theoretical efforts in the world of experience." (Albert Einstein, *Physik und Realität*, 1935)