

# Corrigendum: Peer Victimization and Dysfunctional Reward Processing: ERP and Behavioral Responses to Social and Monetary Rewards

Brent I. Rappaport 1\*, Laura Hennefield 2, Autumn Kujawa 3, Kodi B. Arfer 4, Danielle Kelly 2, Emily S. Kappenman 5, Joan L. Luby 2 and Deanna M. Barch 1,2,6

Department of Psychological & Brain Sciences, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, United States,
 Department of Psychiatry, School of Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, United States,
 Department of Psychology & Human Development, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN, United States, Center for HIV Identification, Prevention, and Treatment Services, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, United States,
 Department of Psychology, San Diego State University, San Diego, CA, United States,

Medicine, Washington University in St. Louis, St. Louis, MO, United States

Keywords: peer victimization, event-related potentials (ERP), reward, depression, adolescence, monetary reward,

## OPEN ACCESS

### Edited and reviewed by:

Johanna M. Jarcho, Temple University, United States

# \*Correspondence:

Brent I. Rappaport brappaport@wustl.edu

### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Motivation and Reward, a section of the journal Frontiers in Behavioral Neuroscience

> Received: 28 April 2020 Accepted: 22 May 2020 Published: 23 June 2020

### Citation

Rappaport Bl, Hennefield L, Kujawa A, Arfer KB, Kelly D, Kappenman ES, Luby JL and Barch DM (2020) Corrigendum: Peer Victimization and Dysfunctional Reward Processing: ERP and Behavioral Responses to Social and Monetary Rewards. Front. Behav. Neurosci. 14:100. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2020.00100

### A Corrigendum on

social reward

# Peer Victimization and Dysfunctional Reward Processing: ERP and Behavioral Responses to Social and Monetary Rewards

by Rappaport, B. I., Hennefield, L., Kujawa, A., Arfer, K. B., Kelly, D., Kappenman, E. S., et al. (2019). Front. Behav. Neurosci. 13:120. doi: 10.3389/fnbeh.2019.00120

In the original article, there was a mistake in **Figure 2** as published. In the original article, we had stated that "all data were re-referenced to the average of Tp9 and Tp10" in the Data Analysis section of the Materials and Methods. Although this was our intention, we recently discovered that our scripts had in fact been re-referencing the data to the average of Tp9, Tp10, and Cz electrodes accidentally. Repeating the analyses using the correct referencing and same trials from the original paper yields identical results to those reported in the original article. The corrected **Figure 2** appears below. The authors apologize for this error and state that this does not change the scientific conclusions of the article in any way. The original article has been updated.

Copyright © 2020 Rappaport, Hennefield, Kujawa, Arfer, Kelly, Kappenman, Luby and Barch. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.

1




FIGURE 2 | Grand average event-related potential (ERP) waveforms and scalp distributions to social and monetary reward feedback at Cz electrode. Time window is highlighted in gray.